Applicant(s) Application No. YU ET AL. 09/507,968 Interview Summary **Art Unit** Examiner Sarada C Prasad 1646 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Sarada C Prasad. (4)____ (2) _____. Date of Interview: 11/27/01 Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: Claim(s) discussed: all . Identification of prior art discussed: Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h M/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: see the hand written summary (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet. See the hand white surmary Savida Prasid Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Examiner's signature, if required Attachment to a signed Office action.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		TATES OF T	
APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/ 507,968	2/22/00	Yuetal.	PF 343 P3.
polypept de		[EXAMINER
r 11-1/2		•	Sorada P, vaseul
		[ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER
			1646 12
	INTERV	EW SUMMARY	DATE MAILED:
All participants (applicant, applicant's rep			Panard
(1) Palla Hutzell			
(2) Yvonne Eyler		(4) Michele Kenier, l	Shannon
Date of Interview Nov 27	, 2007	- Kenley t	trover
Type: Telephonic Personal (copy	is given to applicant	applicant's representative).	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducte			
Agreement ☐ was reached.	it reached.		
Claim(s) discussed:			
dentification of prior art discussed:			
Description of the general nature of what	was agreed to if an agreem	ent was reached, or any other	comments: * ISCUSS and Har
supe of the claims u	5 the resel for	prend coverage.	Eiscussed mechanism
such as fortimal lim	i tations, as	-ksed language	lusion polypeptides +
combinations of domai	ns to nameco	the scope while	s meintainina
combinations of demou adequate protoction	<u>, </u>		
/ /			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a c must be attached. Also, where no copy o attached.)	copy of the amendments, if if the amendments which w	available, which the examiner a ould render the claims allowabl	agreed would render the claims allowable e is available, a summary thereof must be
1. Ht is not necessary for applicant to	provide a separate record o	f the substance of the interview	ı,
Unless the paragraph above has been ch	necked to indicate to the cor	ntrary. A FORMAL WRITTEN FINTERVIEW. (See MPEP Sec	RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION (13.04). If a response to the last Office (13.04).
 Since the Examiner's interview sur rejections and requirements that m is considered to fulfill the response the interview unless box 1 above is 	nay be present in the last Of requirements of the last Of	fice action, and since the claim	ete response to each of the objections, s are now allowable, this completed form leved from providing a separate record of

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to another form.